

INDEPENDENT TESTING OF SPARKLIKE LASER™ NON-DESTRUCTIVE IG GAS ANALYSER



Introduction

- IG gas fill quality control challenges:

 gas escape during the IGU's lifetime or a failure during the gas filling process
 various standards for IGU gas concentration.
- IG gas fill measurement technologies:
 - invasive methods
 - non-destructive technologies.



Introduction

- Sparklike Laser[™] allows non-destructive measurement of IG gas concentration on triple and double IGU's, through most coated and laminated glasses.
- Sparklike requested two testing laboratories to determine the argon gas concentration in particularly challenging IGU's with various coating types.
- The purpose of the test: verify the results from measurements done with Sparklike Laser[™] by using gas chromatographs (GC) as reference devices.



Description of the test equipment: Sparklike Laser™

- Sparklike Laser™ devices are based on TDLAS technology.
- The device measures oxygen and converts the results to insulating gas.



Description of the test equipment: Sparklike Laser™

How laser measures IGU:



- Sparklike Laser[™] uses
 760 nm wavelength.
- Different coatings have different transmission.



Description of the test equipment: GC

- With GC, a vial is punched through the edge seal.
- Ift Rosenheim: Shimadzu GC-14B with a 4-meter Packed Column set at 15 °C.
- TÜV Rheinland: Varian CP-4900 micro GC with a 20meter molecular sieve 5A PLOT column set at 30 °C.
- The measurement uncertainty: ± 1,0 %.



Description of the test specimen



- 7 double and 16 triple glazed units with different types of glass and coatings.
- The insulating glass unit combinations were selected to be challenging and thus, descriptive of the limits.



Description of the test specimen

- IGU's were tested with Sparklike Laser[™] and gas chromatographs.
- Sparklike Laser[™]: the samples were measured 5 times from both sides: from A to B and from B to A.
- Gas chromatographs: 3 gas samples were taken from each IGU and analysed for argon concentration.
- The last two gas sample values were used for evaluation, according to both, ift Rosenheim and TÜV Rheinland processes.



Test results: double glazed IGU

| Sample # | Sparklike measured side | Laser Cavity | GC Cavity | Margin of Error |
|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 1 | А | 96,0 % | 04.9.0/ | 1,2 % |
| | В | 95,3 % | 94,0 % | 0,5 % |
| 2 | A | 85,1 % | 02.4.0/ | 1,7 % |
| | В | 83,1 % | 03,4 % | -0,3 % |
| 3 | А | 94,3 % | 04 4 96 | -0,1 % |
| | В | 95,4 % | 94,4 % | 1,0 % |
| 4 | А | 86,7 % | 07 0 0/ | -1,1, % |
| | В | 87,5 % | 07,0 % | -0,3 % |
| 5 | A | 89,6 % | 00.0.% | -0,4 % |
| 5 | В | 88,8 % | 90,0 % | -1,2 % |
| G | A | 92,4 % | 02104 | 0,3 % |
| 0 | В | 90,5 % | 92,1 70 | -1,6 % |
| 7 | A | 91,5 % | 00.8.04 | 0,7 % |
| 1 | В | 90,5 % | 90,0 % | -0,3 % |



Test results: triple glazed IGU

| Sample # | Sparklike measured side | Laser Cavity 1 | GC Cavity 1 | Margin of Error | Laser Cavity 2 | GC Cavity 2 | Margin of Error |
|-------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 0 | А | 82,1 % | 92.2.04 | -0,1 % | 67,3 % | 60 1 % | -1,8 % |
| o | В | 83,6 % | 02,2 % | 1,4 % | 64,9 % | 09,1 % | -4,2 % |
| 0 | A | 84,6 % | 95 2 04 | -0,6 % | 83,2 % | 92.0.04 | 0,3 % |
| 9 | В | 85,4 % | 05,2 % | 0,2 % | 81,2 % | 02,9 % | -1,7 % |
| 10 | А | 86,2 % | 86,8 % | -0,6 % | 82,3 % | 00.00/ | 0,0 % |
| 10 | В | 88,3 <mark>%</mark> | | 1,5 % | 80,1 % | 02,3 % | -2,2 % |



Test results: triple glazed IGU

| Sample # | Sparklike measured side | Laser Cavity 1 | GC Cavity 1 | Margin of Error | Laser Cavity 2 | GC Cavity 2 | Margin of Error |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 11 | А | 89,8 % | 89,5 % | 0,3 % | 91,0 % | 89,8 % | 1,2 % |
| | В | 87, <mark>5 %</mark> | | -2,0 % | 90,1 % | | 0,3 % |
| 12 | A | 93,2 % | 93,9 % | -0,7 % | 86,8 % | 86,9 % | -0,1 % |
| | В | 93,3 % | | -0,6 % | 86,4 % | | -0,5 % |
| 13 | А | 89,3 % | 91,6 % | -2,3 % | 91,3 % | 92,6 % | -1,3 % |
| | В | 89,0 % | | -2,6 % | 91,9 % | | -0,7 % |
| 14 | A | 74,9 % | 93,0 % | -18,1 % | 99,7 % | 94,4 % | 5,3 % |
| | В | 93,2 % | | 0,2 % | 93,5 % | | -0,9 % |
| 15 | A | 85,9 % | 92,7 % | -6,8 % | 96,8 % | 90,9 % | 5,9 % |
| | В | 93,0 % | | 0,3 % | 92,0 % | | 1,1 % |
| 16 | А | 84,5 % | 85,0 % | -0,5 % | 91,0 % | 89,6 % | 1,4 % |
| | В | 85,9 % | | 0,9 % | 87,7 % | | -1,9 % |
| 17 | А | 61,4 % | 94,4 % | -33,0 % | 96,9 % | 94,6 % | 2,3 % |
| | B | 94,8 % | | 0,4 % | 94,2 % | | -0,4 % |
| 18 | Α | 84,7 % | 92,6 % | -7,9 % | 92,2 % | 90,8 % | 1,4 % |
| | В | 92,2 % | | -0,4 % | 89,7 % | | -1,1 % |
| 19 | A | | 91,6 % | - | 1 | 93,5 % | - 1 |
| | В | 92,3 % | | 0,7 % | 91,1 % | | -2,4 % |







Conclusion

- All 19 samples were measurable by using Sparklike Laser™.
- Double glazed units:
 - The highest margin of error was ± 0,5% (absolute) compared to the gas chromatograph >> the obtained results are inside of uncertainty level of the gas chromatography method.
- Triple glazed units:
 - All units met the requirements described in the standard EN 1279-3, allowing ± 3,0% (absolute) margin of error
 - 75% of the result differences are smaller than ± 2,0% (absolute) compared to gas chromatography.



Test results

Sparklike Laser™ can measure even the most challenging insulating glass structures' gas concentration non-destructively and complying with the EN 1279-3 standard.







Sparklike

